ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

Lineage-specific duplication and adaptive evolution of bitter taste receptor genes in bats

Hengwu Jiao[1] | **Yi Wang**[1] | **Libiao Zhang**[2] | **Peihua Jiang**[3] | **Huabin Zhao**[1]

[1]Department of Ecology and Hubei Key Laboratory of Cell Homeostasis, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

[2]Guangdong Key Laboratory of Animal Conservation and Resource Utilization, Guangdong Public Laboratory of Wild Animal Conservation and Utilization, Guangdong Institute of Applied Biological Resources, Guangzhou, China

[3]Monell Chemical Senses Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Correspondence
Huabin Zhao, Department of Ecology and Hubei Key Laboratory of Cell Homeostasis, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China.
Email: huabinzhao@whu.edu.cn
or
Peihua Jiang, Monell Chemical Senses Center, Philadelphia, PA.
Email: pjiang@monell.org

Abstract

By generating raw genetic material and diverse biological functions, gene duplication represents a major evolutionary mechanism that is of fundamental importance in ecological adaptation. The lineage-specific duplication events of bitter taste receptor genes (*Tas2r*s) have been identified in a number of vertebrates, but functional evolution of new *Tas2r* copies after duplication remains largely unknown. Here, we present the largest data set of bat *Tas2r*s to date, identified from existing genome sequences of 15 bat species and newly sequenced from 17 bat species, and demonstrate lineage-specific duplications of *Tas2r16*, *Tas2r18* and *Tas2r41* that only occurred in *Myotis* bats. *Myotis* bats are highly speciose and represent the only mammalian genus that is naturally distributed on every continent except Antarctica. The occupation of such diverse habitats might have driven the *Tas2r* gene expansion. New copies of *Tas2r*s in *Myotis* bats have shown molecular adaptation and functional divergence. For example, three copies of *Tas2r16* in *Myotis davidii* showed differential sensitivities to arbutin and salicin that may occur in their insect prey, as suggested by cell-based functional assays. We hypothesize that functional differences among *Tas2r* copies in *Myotis* bats would increase their survival rate through preventing the ingestion of an elevated number of bitter-tasting dietary toxins from their insect prey, which may have facilitated their adaptation to diverse habitats. Our study demonstrates functional changes of new *Tas2r* copies after lineage-specific duplications in *Myotis* bats and highlights the potential role of taste perception in exploiting new environments.

KEYWORDS
adaptation, diet, molecular evolution, *Tas2r*, taste

1 | INTRODUCTION

Gene duplication is prevalent across all three domains of life (Zhang, 2003) and represents a major evolutionary mechanism that is of fundamental importance in ecological adaptation by generating raw genetic material and diverse biological functions (Ohno, 1970; Zhang, 2003). After duplication, newly derived gene copies may undergo functional loss, conservation, modification or innovation (Zhang, 2003). The vertebrate bitter taste receptor genes (*Tas2r*s) represent a classic case study of gene duplication (Zhang, 2003), with massive numbers of duplicates identified in a number of vertebrates (Li & Zhang, 2014), which are linked to adaptive evolution of lineage-specific characteristics, such as dietary changes, sensory trade-offs and ecological adaptations (Davis et al., 2010; Hayakawa, Suzuki-Hashido, Matsui, & Go, 2014; Li & Zhang, 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Wang & Zhao, 2015).

Vertebrate *Tas2r*s encode bitter taste receptors, which confer the ability to taste bitter compounds. Because toxic substances such as plant alkaloids and insect defensive secretions typically taste bitter, bitter taste is considered an important natural defence in animals (Hong & Zhao, 2014). In general, herbivorous mammals possess more *Tas2r* duplicates than carnivorous mammals (Li & Zhang, 2014), and insectivorous birds tend to have more *Tas2r* duplicates than carnivorous birds feeding on noninsect animals (Wang & Zhao, 2015). Moreover, the massive duplication of *Tas2r*s may also have been related to a sensory trade-off (Hayakawa et al., 2014). For example, the increase in the number of *Tas2r*s in anthropoids (simians, monkeys and apes) relative to other euarchontoglires species suggests an increased reliance on bitter taste (Hayakawa et al., 2014). Because anthropoids have poor olfactory abilities relative to strepsirrhine primates (Barton, 2006; Matsui, Go, & Niimura, 2010), olfactory degeneration may have been partly compensated for by an increased reliance on vision, and their enhanced taste abilities may also have partially replaced roles of olfaction to evaluate dietary information (Hayakawa et al., 2014). In addition, ecological adaptation may underlie the duplication of *Tas2r*s. For example, despite the fact that birds generally carry a small repertoire of *Tas2r*s (*n* = 4 on average; Wang & Zhao, 2015), a massive duplication of 15 *Tas2r*s was detected specifically in a New World sparrow (Davis et al., 2010); this expansion occurred at a time when the Emberizinae began their initial migration and radiation into the New World, suggesting a role of *Tas2r*s in ecological adaptation (Davis et al., 2010). Such discovery is an echo of an earlier conjecture that mammalian *Tas2r*s may undergo adaptive diversification following gene duplication during exploration of new habitats and diets (Shi, Zhang, Yang, & Zhang, 2003). Of note, *Tas2r* gene numbers do not necessarily represent the average tuning width of bitter taste receptor repertoires, because the small gene number could be partially compensated by broadly tuned receptors, while the large gene number may be correlated with more specialized receptors (Behrens, Korsching, & Meyerhof, 2014; Lossow et al., 2016).

Lineage-specific *Tas2r* duplication events were also observed in members of the mammalian order Chiroptera—the bats, the nocturnal flying mammals—but these observations were identified from two insect-eating species with available genome sequences: *Myotis lucifugus* and *Myotis davidii* (Hong & Zhao, 2014; Li & Zhang, 2014; Zhou, Dong, Zhang, & Zhao, 2009). Despite the limited sampling, the authors argued that insect-eating bats demand more *Tas2r* duplicates to detect insect defensive secretions than their fruit-eating relatives (Hong & Zhao, 2014; Zhou et al., 2009). This argument remains true in the Laurasiatherian mammals (Liu et al., 2016) and birds (Wang & Zhao, 2015) but has not yet been tested among more species of insect-eating bats. Bats, numbering over 1,100 species, are the second most speciose group of mammals after rodents, accounting for approximately 20% of all living mammal species (Simmons, 2005). Although bats exhibit a huge diversity in diets, including insects, fish, amphibians, mammals, birds, fruits, flowers, nectar, pollen, foliage, and blood (Altringham, 1996; Zhao et al., 2010), most species (>900) exclusively feed on insects (Simmons, 2005). In addition, bats are widely distributed, being absent only in polar regions (Simmons, 2005). This occupation of diverse habitats may also drive the evolution of *Tas2r*s as bats inhabit new environments.

Here, we present the first in-depth analysis of molecular evolution of *Tas2r*s in bats, combining sequence analysis with cell-based functional assays. We present the largest data set of bat *Tas2r*s to date that were identified from 15 existing bat genomes and newly sequenced from 17 bat species, and we characterize functional changes in *Tas2r* copies following lineage-specific duplication. We aimed to test (a) whether lineage-specific duplications of *Tas2r*s have occurred just in *Myotis* or in all insect-eating bats, (b) whether new copies of *Tas2r*s have undergone positive selection to facilitate molecular adaptation of functional changes, and (c) whether these new copies show functional differentiation.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Genomic data

The genome assemblies of 15 bat species were retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, last accessed April 25, 2018). Detailed information for each assembly is given in Supporting Information Table S1.

2.2 | Annotation and nomenclature of *Tas2r* genes

*Tas2r*s are intronless genes that encode bitter taste receptors with seven transmembrane domains (Matsunami, Montmayeur, & Buck, 2000). Using the previously published intact *Tas2r* protein sequences in human, mouse, chicken, and zebrafish as queries, we conducted tBLASTn searches (Altschul, Gish, Miller, Myers, & Lipman, 1990) against each of 15 genome sequences to identify *Tas2r* genes with an e-value of 1e-10, following a previous study (Wang & Zhao, 2015). The BLAST hits with a length <300 bp were discarded. An intact *Tas2r* gene was considered to have a coding sequence with proper initiation codon and stop codon and length >270 amino acids. All full-length candidate genes were checked to predict whether the seven transmembrane domains were present using the TMHMM method (Krogh, Larsson, von Heijne, & Sonnhammer, 2001). Those hits with disrupted ORFs (open reading frames) were regarded as pseudogenes. The remaining hits with truncated ORFs resulting from either incomplete genome sequencing or poor genome assembly were considered to be partial *Tas2r* genes. To ensure *Tas2r* gene identity, BLASTn searches against the entire GenBank were performed. All intact *Tas2r* sequences newly identified from this study are provided in Supporting Information Data S1.

We here used the nomenclature of *Tas2r*s previously proposed in Euarchontoglires and Laurasiatheria (Hayakawa et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016). To do this, we built a gene tree (Supporting Information Figure S1) with the Bayesian approach (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) based on the multiple alignment of nucleotide sequences of bat *Tas2r*s and representative genes from the 28 *Tas2r* clades in Boreoeutheria (Hayakawa et al., 2014).

2.3 | Reconstruction of *Tas2r* gene repertoire evolution

The deduced *Tas2r* sequences were aligned using the MUSCLE program (Edgar, 2004). The *Tas2r* gene trees were constructed by the maximum-likelihood (ML) and the Bayesian methods. The ML trees were built by PHYML, version 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010), with 1,000 bootstrap replications, and the Bayesian trees were recovered by MRBAYES, version 3.1.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003), with 1×10^6 Markov chain Monte Carlo generations. The best-fitting nucleotide substitution models were selected by the program JMODELTEST 2 (Darriba, Taboada, Doallo, & Posada, 2012).

To infer the evolutionary changes in *Tas2r* gene numbers in bats, we used the reconciliation method to predict the gene gains and losses in NOTUNG, version 2.6 (Chen, Durand, & Farach-Colton, 2000), following a recent study (Wang & Zhao, 2015). This method compares the species tree and the gene tree (Chen et al., 2000). The species tree is shown in Figure 1; the gene tree was inferred by the Bayesian method (Supporting Information Figure S2).

To examine whether diet has driven the evolution of *Tas2r* gene repertoire in bats, we performed a regression analysis of *Tas2r* gene numbers against diet, following previous studies (Li & Zhang, 2014; Wang & Zhao, 2015). We coded dietary preference of each bat as 0 (frugivorous) and 1 (insectivorous) and then conducted a phylogenetically independent contrast (PIC) analysis using the package Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution (Paradis, Claude, & Strimmer, 2004). We also performed a PIC analysis by coding the non-*Myotis* species as 0 and *Myotis* species as 1, to test whether *Myotis* species possess more *Tas2r* genes than do non-*Myotis* species. The input tree was the established species tree (Figure 1). The branch lengths were estimated by divergence times obtained from the TimeTree (Hedges, Dudley, & Kumar, 2006).

2.4 | Sequencing of *Tas2r16* and *Tas2r41* genes

Genomic DNAs of the 17 bat species (Supporting Information Table S2) were isolated from wing membranes frozen at −80°C using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the


FIGURE 1 The bitter taste receptor repertoires of 15 species of bats with available genome sequences. Four of the 15 species with low-quality genome assembles are underlined. Dietary preferences are indicated by coloured squares. Gene gain and loss events are mapped to the species tree, indicated by red and green numbers, respectively. Massive gene gains and losses (>10) are marked with red and green circles, respectively [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

manufacturer's protocol. All wing membranes of bats were obtained from the mammal tissue archive held at the Guangdong Institute of Applied Biological Resources (China). A suite of primers (Supporting Information Table S3) were used to conduct polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications for *Tas2r16* and *Tas2r41* genes. To isolate the different copies of *Tas2r16* and *Tas2r41* genes, we cloned the PCR products into the pMD19-T vector and then sequenced them directly using the M13 universal primers. For one PCR product, at least three positive clones were picked for sequencing. The resulting sequences were assembled at 99% similarity level to allow for 1% mutations by considering both Taq polymerase-derived errors and allelic variations, aiming to avoid inclusion of the same *Tas2r* multiple times. Each assembled sequence was counted as one copy. The sequences newly acquired in the present study were deposited to the GenBank database under Accession nos. MG200308–MG200350.

2.5 | Selection tests for *Tas2r16*, *Tas2r18* and *Tas2r41* genes

To determine whether natural selection acts on the *Myotis*-specific lineages, we estimated the ratio (ω) of the rate of nonsynonymous substitutions (d_N) to the rate of synonymous substitutions (d_S) using the codeml program implemented in PAML, version 4 (Yang, 2007), with $\omega > 1$, $\omega = 1$, $\omega < 1$ indicating positive selection, neutral evolution and purifying selection. We conducted two tests for each of the three genes (*Tas2r16*, *Tas2r18* and *Tas2r41*). First, we tested whether positive selection affects a few sites along the *Myotis* lineages (foreground branches: all branches connecting *Myotis* genes) using the improved branch-site test of positive selection (Zhang, Nielsen, & Yang, 2005); the corresponding null model is same as the alternative model, except the ω of foreground branches fixed at 1 (Yang & Nielsen, 2002; Zhang et al., 2005). Second, we tested whether ω was divergent between the *Myotis* lineages (all branches connecting *Myotis* genes) and the non-*Myotis* background by comparing clade model C (CmC) with its null model (M2a_ref) (Bielawski & Yang, 2004; Weadick & Chang, 2012). The gene trees reconstructed by the Bayesian approach were used to undertake these selection tests (Supporting Information Figures S3–S5). Likelihood-ratio tests were applied to compare alternative models with null models.

2.6 | Bitter compounds

Our collection of bitter compounds contained eight synthetic and eight natural compounds (Supporting Information Table S4). Among the eight naturally occurring compounds, arbutin and salicin are likely to occur in the prey of *Myotis* bats, because they could be synthesized or sequestered by some insects, such as bees (Duffey, 1980), beetles (Kuhn et al., 2004) and butterflies (Prudic, Khera, Solyom, & Timmermann, 2007). The remaining six compounds (Supporting Information Table S4) have the possibilities to be encountered by

Myotis bats in their surrounding environments, as many *Myotis* bats are typical gleaners, catching their insect prey from foliage (Arlettaz, 1996; Ruedi & Mayer, 2001). Specifically, camphor, papaverine hydrochloride, picrotoxinin and quinine are natural products from plants (Calixto, Yunes, Neto, Valle, & Rae, 1984; Croteau, Kutchan, & Lewis, 2000; Porter, 1967); thiamine is a vitamin that was also found in plants (Asensi-Fabado & Munne-Bosch, 2010); and chloramphenicol was first isolated from the soil bacterium *Streptomyces venezuelae* (Fernandez-Martinez et al., 2014).

With the exception of diphenidol hydrochloride (Reagent World), all tested compounds were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Supporting Information Table S4). These compounds were dissolved in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) or in a mixture of dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) and DPBS, with a final DMSO concentration <0.1% to protect the transfected cells against toxicity. The highest concentrations of bitter compounds used in our study were taken from Meyerhof et al. (2010).

2.7 | Construction of bat *Tas2r16* expression plasmids

The complete coding sequences of bat *Tas2r16* genes were amplified from the genomic DNAs using high-fidelity KOD-Plus-Neo DNA polymerase (Toyobo). PCR products were purified and then inserted into the expression vector pEAK10, with the first 45 amino acid residues of rat somatostatin receptor 3 as the signal peptide at the 5′-end of *Tas2r16* genes. The sequences of all constructs were verified by the traditional Sanger sequencing.

2.8 | Functional assays of *Tas2r16*

Functional assays were carried out as described previously (Lei et al., 2015). Briefly, the human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells (Peakrapid) were cultured in Opti-MEM supplemented with 5% foetal bovine serum and seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 50,000 per well. After 24–26 hr, the HEK293 cells were transiently transfected by a Tas2r16 construct (0.1 μg/well) with a coupling chimeric G protein Gα16-gust44 (0.1 μg/well) using Lipofectamine 2000 (0.5 μl/well). After 24 hr of transfection, cells were washed once with DPBS and loaded with the calcium-sensitive dye Fluo-4 AM (2.5 μM; Invitrogen) for 1 hour at room temperature. After three washes with DPBS to remove excess dye, cells were assayed for their responses to bitter compounds using a FlexStation III spectrometer (Molecular Devices). Relative fluorescence units (excitation at 488 nm, emission at 525 nm, cut-off at 515 nm) were recorded every 2 s after addition of DPBS supplemented with 2× ligands. Calcium mobilization traces were recorded. All measurements were independently repeated at least three times.

Calcium mobilization was quantified as the percentage of the changes in fluorescence (ΔF) relative to *F* (the peak of fluorescence minus baseline fluorescence) from triplicate experiments. Calcium signal traces and bar graphs were generated with the GRAPHPAD PRISM

5 package (GraphPad Software, Inc.). Dunnett's tests were used to perform the statistical analysis. Dose-dependent curves were plotted by a nonlinear regression using GRAPHPAD PRISM 5. Functional assay data generated from our study were provided in Supporting Information Data S2.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Evolution of *Tas2r* gene repertoires in bats

A total of 416 *Tas2r*s (mean, 28 per species; median, 26; range, 21–38) were identified in this study: 263 intact genes (mean, 18; median, 17; range, 8–29), 26 partial genes (mean, 2; median, 2; range, 0–5) and 127 pseudogenes (mean, 8; median, 9; range, 2–15) (Figure 1, Supporting Information Data S1). It appears that the numbers of partial genes are comparable across all species, including four with low-coverage genomes sequenced by the Illumina HiSeq platform (17–18×) (Figure 1, Supporting Information Table S1). Indeed, we found that the fractions of *Tas2r* partial genes are not correlated with the contig N50 lengths of the 15 genome assemblies (Spearman's $\rho = -0.526$, $p = 0.065$) (Supporting Information Figure S6), suggesting that varying genome quality did not play a major role in determining *Tas2r* gene number and is thus acceptable for our analysis.

Through a phylogenetically independent contrast analysis, we found no significant relationship between *Tas2r* gene number in frugivorous and insectivorous bats, including number of intact genes ($p = 0.799$), number of putatively functional genes ($p = 0.127$), number of pseudogenes ($p = 0.173$), number of all identified genes ($p = 0.777$) and proportion of pseudogenes ($p = 0.071$) (Supporting Information Table S5). Thus, insectivorous bats apparently do not carry more *Tas2r*s than their frugivorous relatives in general. The number of putatively functional *Tas2r*s in three *Myotis* species (range, 28–31) is larger than that in other species (range, 8–21) (Figure 1), and the difference is significant after correcting for phylogenetic inertia (Spearman's $\rho = 0.763$, $p = 0.002$ for intact genes; $\rho = 0.793$, $p = 0.001$ for putatively functional genes; $\rho = 0.578$, $p = 0.030$ for all identified *Tas2r* genes; Supporting Information Figure S7).

Using a reconciliation approach (Chen et al., 2000), we inferred evolutionary changes in the number of intact *Tas2r*s in ancestral and extant lineages by comparing the species tree with the gene tree (Wang & Zhao, 2015). The species tree (Figure 1) followed previous studies (Almeida, Giannini, DeSalle, & Simmons, 2011; Miller-Butterworth et al., 2007; Ruedi et al., 2013; Teeling et al., 2005), while the gene tree (Figure S2) was reconstructed using a Bayesian approach. We identified the greatest reduction in *Tas2r* gene number ($n = 11$) in the common ancestor of four species of Old World fruit bats (Pteropodidae) (Figure 1), suggesting that the ancestral lineage leading to the Old World fruit bats underwent a massive loss of taste receptor genes, although their extant lineages did not (Figure 1). By contrast, the greatest expansion of *Tas2r* gene number ($n = 11$) occurred in the common ancestor of three *Myotis* species (Figure 1).

3.2 | *Tas2r* gene duplications in bats

To identify gene duplication events, we aligned the 263 intact *Tas2r*s from the 15 bat species (Figure 1), and reconstructed phylogenetic trees with both maximum-likelihood (ML) and Bayesian approaches, using the mouse *V1R1* gene as an outgroup. In both approaches, the partial *Tas2r* genes and pseudogenes were not included because they are either too short or too divergent to be aligned. We found that the Bayesian tree showed a topology similar to the ML tree, though some nodes of the ML tree were weakly supported (Supporting Information Figure S8). From the phylogenetic tree, all bat *Tas2r*s formed 22 clades, each of which consisted of 2–43 genes (Supporting Information Figure S2). Notably, we identified *Myotis*-specific duplication events in two clades (*Tas2r16* and *Tas2r18*): Three *Myotis* species have 3–4 copies of *Tas2r16* and 3–6 copies of *Tas2r18*, whereas other bats possess only one copy of each of the two genes (Supporting Information Figure S2). Another duplication (*Tas2r41*) was not specific to *Myotis* species; a non-*Myotis* species (*Eptesicus fuscus*) has two duplicates as well. For *Myotis* bats, this duplication occurred in the common ancestor of the three *Myotis* species (Supporting Information Figure S2). Additionally, we detected a large gene expansion of *Tas2r408* (43 genes), in which duplication events occurred in nearly all bats examined; putative gene losses in the four Old World fruit bats were also found in five clades (*Tas2r2*, *Tas2r10*, *Tas2r11*, *Tas2r18* and *Tas2r67*) (Supporting Information Figure S2), consistent with the massive reduction in the number of *Tas2r* genes in the common ancestor of Old World fruit bats (Figure 1). We also undertook tests of gene conversion in all *Tas2r*s using Sawyer's method (Sawyer, 1989) and identified only four possible gene conversion events (Supporting Information Table S6), suggesting that such events play a minor role in bat *Tas2r* evolution.

To test whether *Myotis* species are unique in *Tas2r* gene duplication compared to other species, we examined *Tas2r16* and *Tas2r41* in 30 bat species (4 *Myotis* and 26 non-*Myotis* species; Figure 2). We did not examine *Tas2r18* in the same 30 bats in the present study, because there is no information about ligands of human TAS2R18, which may represent a challenge for detecting ligands for bat Tas2r18 since our collection of bitter compounds is small. Our samples contained 15 species with available genome sequences and 17 species with available genetic material ready for sequencing. One species (*Myotis davidii*) has both available genome sequence and genetic material (Figure 2). Combining our newly generated 43 sequences (Supporting Information Table S2) with the identified genes from genomic data, our phylogenetic analyses (Figure 3, Supporting Information Figures S3 and S5) showed that the vast majority of bats have only one copy of *Tas2r16* (or *Tas2r41*), whereas the four *Myotis* species have at least two copies (Figure 2). There are a few exceptions: *Cynopterus sphinx*, *Plecotus auritus* and *Vespertilio superans* have two copies of *Tas2r16*, and *Eptesicus fuscus* has two copies of *Tas2r41* (Figure 2). Together, these findings show that lineage-specific duplications of *Tas2r16* and *Tas2r41* occurred in *Myotis* bats.

	Abbreviation	*Tas2r16*	*Tas2r41*		
Eidolon helvum	Ehel	1	1		
Cynopterus sphinx	Csph	2	1		
Pteropus vampyrus	Pvam	1	1		
Pteropus alecto	Pale	1	1	Pteropodidae	Suborder Yinptero-chiroptera
Rousettus aegyptiacus	Raeg	1	1		
Rousettus leschenaultii	Rles	1	1		
Megaderma lyra	Mlyr	1	n.a	Megadermatidae	
Hipposideros armiger	Harm	1	1	Hipposideridae	
Rhinolophus sinicus	Rsin	1	1	Rhinolophidae	
Rhinolophus ferrumequinum	Rfer	1	1		
Taphozous melanopogon	Tmel	1	1	Emballonuridae	
Pteronotus parnellii	Ppar	1	1	Mormoopidae	
Tylonycteris pachypus	Tpac	1	n.a		
Vespertilio superans	Vsup	2	n.a		
Nyctalus velutinus	Nvel	1	1		
Pipistrellus pipistrellus	Ppip	1	n.a		
Ia io	Iio	1	1		
Scotomanes ornatus	Sorn	1	1		
Eptesicus serotinus	Eser	1	n.a	Vespertilionidae	
Eptesicus fuscus	Efus	1	2		Suborder Yango-chiroptera
Barbastella beijingensis	Bbei	1	n.a		
Plecotus auritus	Paur	2	1		
Myotis ricketti	Mric	2	6		
Myotis brandtii	Mbra	4	2		
Myotis lucifugus	Mluc	4	2		
Myotis davidii	Mdav	8 (3)	4 (2)		
Scotophilus kuhlii	Skuh	1	1		
Miniopterus pusillus	Mpus	1	1		
Miniopterus natalensis	Mnat	1	1	Miniopteridae	
Miniopterus fuliginosus	Mful	1	1		

FIGURE 2 Validation for *Myotis*-specific duplications by polymerase chain reaction amplifications. The copy numbers for *Tas2r16* and *Tas2r41* are listed for each species. The species with newly amplified sequences are indicated in boldface, whereas the species with available genome sequences are shown in plain type. The only species (*Myotis davidii*) with both newly amplified and available genome sequences is underlined, and the number of genes identified from its genome sequence is shown in parentheses. Four *Myotis* species are indicated by shading; n.a. (not available) indicates failed amplifications or absence from the genome sequence

3.3 | Molecular adaptation of bat *Tas2r* genes after duplication

To test whether the *Myotis*-specific duplicates were associated with molecular adaptation, we estimated ω using a likelihood approach (Yang & Rannala, 2012). In the data set for *Tas2r16*, we detected a small proportion of sites (7.7%) with a signature of positive selection ($\omega_2 = 4.606$, $p = 4.45E\text{-}06$; Table 1), suggesting that the *Myotis*-specific duplicates underwent molecular adaptation. We also detected divergent selection between *Myotis* and non-*Myotis* lineages after comparing CmC with M2a_ref ($\omega_2/\omega_f = 3.019/4.618$, $p = 0.042$, Table 1), suggesting that functional divergence occurred among *Myotis* duplicates. Similarly, the data sets for *Tas2r18* and *Tas2r41* also showed signatures of positive selection and divergent selection (see ω and p values in Table 1). Therefore, *Myotis*-specific duplicates of *Tas2r*s were inferred to have undergone adaptive evolution and functional divergence.

3.4 | Functional differentiation of new copies of *Tas2r16*

To determine whether gene duplications of bat *Tas2r*s were accompanied by functional changes or innovations, we performed cell-based functional assays of bat *Tas2r16* (Figure 3) because the human ortholog *TAS2R16* is one of the best-characterized *Tas2r* genes (Bufe, Hofmann, Krautwurst, Raguse, & Meyerhof, 2002; Imai et al., 2012). By contrast, the human ortholog *TAS2R41* has only two known ligands (Lossow et al., 2016; Thalmann, Behrens, & Meyerhof, 2013). We examined the responsiveness of these receptors towards 16 available bitter compounds that are known to activate human *Tas2r*s (Supporting Information Table S4) (Meyerhof et al., 2010), including D-salicin and arbutin, plant-derived compounds activating the human TAS2R16 (Parejo, Viladomat, Bastida, & Codina, 2001; Vane, 2000). After measuring relative fluorescence changes ($\Delta F/F$) with respect to the mock-transfected negative control, we found that four of the five bat Tas2r16 receptors recognized arbutin and

TABLE 1 Adaptive functional diversification of *Tas2r* genes in *Myotis* bats

Models	No. of parameters	d_N/d_S (ω)[a] ω_0	ω_1	ω_2/ω_f	ln L[b]	Models compared	$2\Delta(\ln L)$[c]	*p* Value[d]
Data set I: *Tas2r16*								
A. Model A null	96	0.134 (48.4%)	1 (51.6%)		−5297.034	B vs. A	21.061	4.45E-06
B. Model A	97	0.135 (44.4%)	1 (47.9%)	4.606 (7.7%)	−5286.504			
C. M2a_ref	97	0.140 (44.3%)	1 (47.2%)	3.491 (8.5%)	−5259.337	D vs. C	4.148	0.042
D. Clade model C	98	0.140 (44.3%)	1 (47.2%)	3.019 (8.5%)	−5257.263			
				Myotis: 4.618				
Data set II: *Tas2r18*								
E. Model A null	40	0.138 (45.6%)	1 (54.4%)		−4927.682	F vs. E	30.410	3.50E-08
F. Model A	41	0.148 (43.7%)	1 (47.7%)	5.159 (8.5%)	−4912.477			
G. M2a_ref	41	0.225 (51.4%)	1 (31.8%)	2.451 (16.8%)	−4910.936	H vs. G	9.312	2.28E-03
H. CmC	42	0.181 (46.6%)	1 (42.0%)	1.746 (11.4%)	−4906.280			
				Myotis: 4.778				
Data set III: *Tas2r41*								
I. Model A null	72	0.142 (50.4%)	1 (49.6%)		−4974.755	J vs. I	50.535	1.17E-12
J. Model A	73	0.153 (50.4%)	1 (34.8%)	6.688 (14.8%)	−4949.488			
K. M2a_ref	73	0.178 (55.1%)	1 (29.9%)	2.025 (15.0%)	−4969.627	L vs. K	36.643	1.42E-09
L. Clade model C	74	0.161 (55.8%)	1 (28.8%)	0.757 (15.4%)	−4951.305			
				Myotis: 6.798				

[a]The ω values of each site class (ω_0, ω_1 and ω_2) are shown with the percentage of each site class in parentheses. The ω_f value is the divergent site class of the foreground lineages, which has a separate value shown in boldface.
[b]The natural logarithm of the likelihood value.
[c]Twice the difference in ln L between the two models compared.
[d]*p* Values were generated by comparing the two models with a chi-square test.

D-salicin (Figure 4), while one copy (Mda_Tas2r16a) of *Myotis davidii* showed no response to any of the 16 compounds we tested. To test the sensitivities of these receptors towards arbutin and D-salicin, we obtained the dose-dependent curves for all examined receptors (Figure 4c,f). While Mda_Tas2r16a was not activated at any concentration of any ligands that we tested, the other four receptors showed distinct sensitivities to arbutin and D-salicin (Figure 4c,f). Mda_-Tas2r16b appears to be more sensitive than Mda_Tas2r16c to arbutin, while both Mda_Tas2r16b and Mda_Tas2r16c are more sensitive than the two outgroups, which showed similar sensitivity levels (Figure 4c). By contrast, Mda_Tas2r16b is less sensitive than Mda_-Tas2r16c to D-salicin, while the two outgroups showed distinct sensitivity levels (Figure 4f). Based on their different degrees of sensitivity to the tested compounds, functional differentiations were apparent among the three copies of Mda_Tas2r16 and between Mda_Tas2r16 copies and the two outgroups (Figure 4).

Notably, the Mda_Tas2r16c receptor showed responsiveness to chloramphenicol (not a ligand for human TAS2R16), while other receptors did not recognize this compound at any concentration tested (Figure 4 g–i), suggesting a new function of Mda_Tas2r16c. Likewise, denatonium benzoate activated Mda_Tas2r16b and Mda_-Tas2r16c but did not activate Mda_Tas2r16a or the two outgroups (Figure 4j–l), indicating another new function. In addition, the dose-dependent curves showed that Mda_Tas2r16b appears to be more

sensitive than Mda_Tas2r16c to denatonium benzoate (Figure 4l), suggesting differential functional characteristics for the two duplicate genes. Clearly, novel functions occurred in newly derived duplicates after *Myotis*-specific duplication. As expected, arbutin and D-salicin activated human TAS2R16, whereas denatonium benzoate and chloramphenicol did not (Supporting Information Figure S9), consistent with previous reports (Meyerhof et al., 2010). Therefore, our functional expression analyses demonstrated that *Tas2r* duplicates acquired distinct functionality and expanded bitter compound-detecting capacity.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this work, we undertook the first in-depth study of bitter taste receptor genes (*Tas2r*s) in bats, combining sequence analysis with cell-based functional assays. We identified lineage-specific duplications of *Tas2r*s that occurred in *Myotis* bats, and new copies of *Tas2r*s were inferred to have undergone positive selection and functional divergence. Cell-based functional assays suggest that three copies of *Tas2r16* in *Myotis davidii* developed functional differentiations and functional innovations following duplication.

In search of bat *Tas2r* gene repertoires, the common vampire bat *Desmodus rotundus* was found to have the smallest *Tas2r* repertoire

FIGURE 3 The Bayesian gene tree of bat *Tas2r16*. Node support values represent Bayesian posterior probabilities. The *Tas2r16* genes generated by amplification and sequencing are shown in boldface. The pink star indicates the node where *Myotis*-specific duplication occurred. Three *Myotis* clusters are marked by coloured circles. Genes belonging to the *Myotis* genus are highlighted within a dashed box. Genes selected for cell-based functional assay are indicated with a response line [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

(*n* = 21), of which eight *Tas2r* genes are complete and intact and thus putatively functional, whereas the remaining 13 genes are pseudogenized. This finding strongly suggests that bitter taste reception is reduced in *Desmodus rotundus*, which was also supported by previous genetic (Hong & Zhao, 2014) and behavioural (Thompson, Elias, Shumake, & Gaddis, 1982) studies. In a recent study, however, *Tas2r3* in *Desmodus rotundus* was found to have undergone positive

selection and thus may be linked to blood consumption (Zepeda Mendoza et al., 2018). By contrast, *Tas2r3* is a pseudogene in another vampire bat species *Diphylla ecaudata* (Hong & Zhao, 2014), suggesting that the proposed link between *Tas2r3* function and sanguivorous diet appears to be more complicated than anticipated.

In phylogenetic reconstructions inferred from intact *Tas2r* sequences of bats, gene duplication events were observed in only a

FIGURE 4 Functional differentiations of Tas2r16 receptors in *Myotis davidii*. HEK293 cells transiently expressing bat Tas2r16 and Gα16-gust44 were assayed for responses to four compounds. (a, d, g, j) Time course of fluorescence intensity: calcium mobilization of Mdav_Tas2r16b (red) or Mdav_Tas2r16c (green) and mock-transfected cells (transfected only with Gα16-gust44; grey) to arbutin (30 mM), D-salicin (10 mM), chloramphenicol (1 mM) and denatonium benzoate (3 mM). (b, e, h, k) Quantitative analysis of responses of bat Tas2r16 to arbutin, D-salicin, chloramphenicol and denatonium benzoate (mean ± *SE*, ***p < 0.001, one-way ANOVA). (c, f, i, l) Dose-dependent responses of bat Tas2r16 to arbutin, D-salicin, chloramphenicol and denatonium benzoate. All data were fitted using GraphPad Prism 5 [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

few cases (*Tas2r16*, *Tas2r18*, *Tas2r41* and *Tas2r408*) (Supporting Information Figures S2 and S8). While *Tas2r408* was duplicated in most species, other duplication events (*Tas2r16*, *Tas2r18* and *Tas2r41*) occurred specifically in *Myotis* bats (Supporting Information Figures S2 and S8). Since the divergence between the New World species (*Myotis lucigugus* and *M. brandtii*) and the Old World species (*M. davidii*) was at the origin of the common ancestor of all *Myotis* bats (Figure 1) (Kawai et al., 2003; Ruedi et al., 2013; Stadelmann, Lin, Kunz, & Ruedi, 2007), the lineage-specific duplications of *Tas2r*s observed in *Myotis* bats were likely to have taken place in the common ancestor of all *Myotis* species. Such gene duplications were not found in other insectivorous bats, suggesting that *Tas2r* gene duplications do not necessarily occur in all insect eaters, although many nocturnal insects release poisonous defensive secretions (likely bitter) that may function to deter insect-eating bats (Blum, 1981; Hristov & Conner, 2005; Ratcliffe & Fullard, 2005). This finding does not support an earlier hypothesis that insectivorous bats have more *Tas2r* genes than their frugivorous relatives, possibly due to the scanty sampling of taxa in earlier studies (Hong & Zhao, 2014; Zhou et al., 2009). Our study taxa included four species of frugivorous bats, one species of sanguivorous bat and 10 species of insectivorous species sampled across bat phylogeny (Figure 1), and our whole genome data of the 15 bat species enabled us to obtain all potential *Tas2r* genes from each species. Moreover, we expanded our study taxa to six frugivorous and 24 insectivorous bats in the surveys of two receptors, *Tas2r16* and *Tas2r41* (Figure 2), providing compelling evidence that *Tas2r* duplications occurred in *Myotis* but not in other insectivorous bats. However, we acknowledge that we cannot completely rule out the potential bias in gene amplification and molecular cloning. An expansion of *Tas2r* genes only in *Myotis* bats did not support a sensory trade-off between an increase in bitter taste and a loss of colour vision, because all *Myotis* bats examined so far possess dichromatic colour vision (Zhao, Xu, Zhou, Flanders, & Zhang, 2009b; Zhao et al., 2009a). In addition, no pseudogenes were detected in the *Tas2r16* duplicates (Supporting Information Figure S10), suggesting that *Tas2r16* duplicates in *Myotis* species are functionally important and purifying or positive selection has prevented them from pseudogenization.

Signals of positive selection were detected in branches connecting *Myotis*-specific duplicates (Table 1), suggesting that the observed *Tas2r* duplicates in *Myotis* bats are adaptive. Although the evolutionary history of vertebrate *Tas2r*s is generally shaped by adaptive evolution (Shi & Zhang, 2006; Shi et al., 2003), lineage-specific adaptation of *Tas2r*s has rarely been reported. Such adaptive evolution points to an evolutionary mechanism associated with *Myotis*-specific biological characteristics. Signals of diversifying selection were also identified between *Myotis* duplicates and other *Tas2r* genes (Table 1), suggesting that functional divergence and specialization may have occurred in newly derived duplicates in *Myotis*. Indeed, in the case of *Tas2r16* from *Myotis davidii*, the three copies of *Tas2r16* have undergone functional differentiation and have evolved novel functions (Figure 4). Such functional changes in newly derived *Tas2r* duplicates are likely driven by adaptive evolution.

Our cell-based assays demonstrated functional differences in the three copies of Mdav_Tas2r16 (Figure 4). Specifically, Mdav_Tas2r16b is more sensitive than Mdav_Tas2r16c to arbutin, while the opposite is true to D-salicin. Chloramphenicol recognition was found in Mdav_Tas2r16c, but not in other receptors tested. Similarly, denatonium benzoate can activate Mdav_Tas2r16b and Mdav_Tas2r16c but cannot activate other receptors tested. A molecular mechanism of functional divergence of the three copies of Mdav_Tas2r16 was tentatively inferred. First, we ran GENE-CONV to detect gene conversion events that may contribute to the acquisition of new ligand recognition of Mdav_Tas2r16b and Mdav_Tas2r16c by adding the sequences of the three copies of *Mdav_Tas1r16*. We detected the same four possible gene conversion events that were listed in Supporting Information Table S6. This finding suggests that no gene conversion event occurred between *Mdav_Tas2r16b*, *Mdav_Tas2r16c* and other intact *Tas2r* genes in *Myotis davidii*. Second, we aligned the amino acid sequences of five Tas2r receptors tested in our functional assays to identify the key residues differing among these receptors, which may lead to the functional innovation. The alignment led to the identification of 12 residues, including 10 unique ones of *Mdav_Tas2r16c* that may underlie chloramphenicol recognition and two residues shared by *Mdav_Tas2r16b* and *Mdav_Tas2r16c* that may underlie denatonium benzoate recognition (Supporting Information Figure S11a). Such residues are found in both transmembrane helix and extracellular and intracellular loops of these receptors (Supporting Information Figure S11b). Future work using mutagenesis and cell-based functional assays will determine whether these residues are indeed critical for ligand–receptor interactions. Third, we conducted site models in PAML (Yang, 2007) to identify positively selected sites that may lead to molecular adaptation (Supporting Information Table S7). Intriguingly, two of the three positively selected sites are located in the same positions as the unique sites for *Mdav_Tas2r16c* (Supporting Information Figure S11). We predicted four Tas2rs (Mdav_Tas2r1, Mda_Tas2r4, Mdav_Tas2r10 and Mdav_Tas2r39) to have the potential ability for denatonium benzoate or chloramphenicol recognition, because their one-to-one orthologous genes in humans can respond to the two compounds, although sequence-orthologous Tas2r receptors may have distinct ligand profiles (Lossow et al., 2016). We mapped the 12 key sites to the four Tas2rs based on an alignment of amino acid sequences of 25 intact *Mdav_Tas2r*s, and identified one site (164K) that was only shared by Mda_Tas2r16c and Mdav_Tas2r39. We thus propose that the shared site (164K) may account for functional convergence in the two receptors, although this proposal needs to be substantiated by an in-depth functional assay in the future. Of note, although novel functions were found in new copies of *Tas2r16* in *Myotis davidii*, we cannot rule out a possibility that this would not increase the receptive field of the entire *Tas2r* repertoire due to functional redundancy of other *Tas2r*s. Therefore, testing additional naturally occurring bitter compounds that are relevant to the bat's ecology and other bitter receptors may address this issue in the future.

Functional diversification of duplicate genes generally involves subfunctionalization and neofunctionalization (Zhang, 2003). Based on our functional assays and genetic evidence for positive selection, we infer that adaptive functional diversification of *Tas2r* duplicates may have occurred in *Myotis* bats. Why did this occur specifically in *Myotis* bats? Earlier studies proposed that diet generally shapes *Tas2r* gene numbers in vertebrates (Hayakawa et al., 2014; Li & Zhang, 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Wang & Zhao, 2015). However, our data from bats are not consistent with this claim, because only *Myotis* bats have more *Tas2r* genes than frugivorous and other insectivorous bats (Figure 1). Despite the difference in dietary components, both *Myotis* and non-*Myotis* insectivorous bats appear to most commonly feed on insects from the orders Coleoptera (beetles), Lepidoptera (moths and butterflies) and Diptera (flies) (Hill & Smith, 1984; Liu et al., 2014). Thus, there is no clear-cut correlation between diet and *Tas2r* gene number in bats. On the other hand, we identified an apparent association between ecological adaptation and *Tas2r* gene expansion in *Myotis* bats. The genus *Myotis* is cosmopolitan, representing the only mammalian genus that is naturally distributed on every continent except Antarctica, from the boreal to sub-Antarctic zones, tropical rainforests or semidesertic habitats (Ruedi & Mayer, 2001; Ruedi et al., 2013). *Myotis* bats are highly speciose, with over 100 species living in most terrestrial habitats (except polar regions; Simmons, 2005). Indeed, these bats are the second most diverse genus (after *Crocidura* shrews) among mammals (Ruedi et al., 2013). They are ecologically diversified, with some taxa being water surface foragers, others aerial insect feeders and others terrestrial gleaners from foliage and other surfaces (Findley, 1972; Ruedi & Mayer, 2001). We thus hypothesize that occupation of such ecological diversity in *Myotis* bats may have driven *Tas2r* gene expansion, permitting them to colonize novel habitats. According to our experimental data from cell-based functional assays, we found that four of the 16 bitter compounds are able to activate one or more bat Tas2r16 receptors (Figure 4). To the best of our knowledge, chloramphenicol and denatonium benzoate are unlikely to be present in bat diets. By contrast, arbutin and salicin are naturally occurring glycosides, both of which are secondary metabolites in the leaves of plants (Panusa, Petrucci, Marrosu, Multari, & Gallo, 2015). Previous studies showed that herbivorous insects have the ability to synthesize or sequester glucosides for defending against their predators, such as insect-eating bats (Kuhn et al., 2004; Nishida, 2002; Opitz & Muller, 2009; Zagrobelny et al., 2004). Due to differential sensitivities of *Tas2r16* copies to bitter compounds, including arbutin and salicin that may occur in their insect prey (Kuhn et al., 2004; Oba, Yoshida, Kanie, Ojika, & Inouye, 2013), *Myotis* bats may tend to feed on safe foods in varying environments. As a result, we hypothesize that *Myotis* bats may have greater abilities to explore and adapt to new environments, which may be one of the reasons why they are widely distributed. Consistent with this hypothesis, passerines (order Passeriformes), the most diverse order among birds, with a global distribution, carry a larger *Tas2r* gene repertoire than most other bird species (Wang & Zhao, 2015). Similarly, in the New World sparrow *Zonotrichia albicollis*, a species-specific expansion of *Tas2r*s occurred at the time when the Emberizinae began their initial migration and radiation into the New World (Davis et al., 2010). This is consistent with the hypothesis that the expansion of *Tas2r*s might be an adaptive mechanism as animals move into new environments.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

The sequences newly acquired in the present study were deposited to the GenBank database under Accession nos. MG200308–MG200350.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H.Z. conceived and designed the project; P.J. supervised the cell-based functional assays; H.Z. and P.J. contributed reagents or analytical tools; H.J. analysed data and conducted the functional assays; H.J. and Y.W. performed the sequencing work; L.Z. provided wing punches of bats; H.Z. and H.J. wrote the paper, and P.J. edited the manuscript.

ORCID

Huabin Zhao http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7848-6392

REFERENCES

Almeida, F. C., Giannini, N. P., DeSalle, R., & Simmons, N. B. (2011). Evolutionary relationships of the old world fruit bats (Chiroptera, Pteropodidae): Another star phylogeny? *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *11*(1), 281. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-281

Altringham, J. D. (1996). *Bats: Biology and behavior*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *215*(3), 403–410. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1990.9999

Arlettaz, R. (1996). Feeding behaviour and foraging strategy of free-living mouse-eared bats, *Myotis myotis* and *Myotis blythii*. *Animal Behaviour*, *51*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1996.0001

Asensi-Fabado, M. A., & Munne-Bosch, S. (2010). Vitamins in plants: Occurrence, biosynthesis and antioxidant function. *Trends in Plant Science*, *15*(10), 582–592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.07.003

Barton, R. A. (2006). Olfactory evolution and behavioral ecology in primates. *American Journal of Primatology*, *68*(6), 545–558. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20251

Behrens, M., Korsching, S. I., & Meyerhof, W. (2014). Tuning properties of avian and frog bitter taste receptors dynamically fit gene repertoire sizes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *31*(12), 3216–3227. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu254

Bielawski, J. P., & Yang, Z. (2004). A maximum likelihood method for detecting functional divergence at individual codon sites, with application to gene family evolution. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, *59*(1), 121–132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-004-2597-8

Blum, M. (1981). *Chemical defense of arthropods*. New York, NY: Academic Press.

Bufe, B., Hofmann, T., Krautwurst, D., Raguse, J. D., & Meyerhof, W. (2002). The human TAS2R16 receptor mediates bitter taste in response to beta-glucopyranosides. *Nature Genetics*, *32*(3), 397–401. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1014

Calixto, J. B., Yunes, R. A., Neto, A. S. O., Valle, R. M. R., & Rae, G. A. (1984). Antispasmodic effects of an alkaloid extracted from *Phyllanthus Sellowianus*: A comparative study with papaverine. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, *17*(3–4), 313–321.

Chen, K., Durand, D., & Farach-Colton, M. (2000). NOTUNG: A program for dating gene duplications and optimizing gene family trees. *Journal of Computational Biology*, *7*(3–4), 429–447. https://doi.org/10.1089/106652700750050871

Croteau, R., Kutchan, T. M., & Lewis, N. G. (2000). Natural products (secondary metabolites). In B. Buchanan, W. Gruissem, & R. Jones (Eds.), *Biochemistry and molecular biology of plants* (pp. 1250–1319). Rockwille, MD: American Society of Plant Physiologists.

Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., & Posada, D. (2012). JMODELTEST 2: More models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods*, *9*(8), 772. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109

Davis, J. K., Lowman, J. J., Thomas, P. J., ten Hallers, B. F. H., Koriabine, M., Huynh, L. Y., & Sequencing, N. C. (2010). Evolution of a bitter taste receptor gene cluster in a New World sparrow. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *2*, 358–370. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evq027

Duffey, S. S. (1980). Sequestration of plant natural products by insects. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *25*, 447–477. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.25.010180.002311

Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: A multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *5*(1), 113. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-5-113

Fernandez-Martinez, L. T., Borsetto, C., Gomez-Escribano, J. P., Bibb, M. J., Al-Bassam, M. M., Chandra, G., & Bibb, M. J. (2014). New insights into chloramphenicol biosynthesis in *Streptomyces venezuelae* ATCC 10712. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *58*(12), 7441–7450. https://doi.org/10.1128/Aac.04272-14

Findley, J. S. (1972). Phenetic relationships among bats of the genus *Myotis*. *Systematic Biology*, *21*(1), 31–52. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/21.1.31

Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., & Gascuel, O. (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PHYML 3.0. *Systematic Biology*, *59*(3), 307–321. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010

Hayakawa, T., Suzuki-Hashido, N., Matsui, A., & Go, Y. (2014). Frequent expansions of the bitter taste receptor gene repertoire during evolution of mammals in the Euarchontoglires clade. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *31*(8), 2018–2031. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu144

Hedges, S. B., Dudley, J., & Kumar, S. (2006). TimeTree: A public knowledge-base of divergence times among organisms. *Bioinformatics*, *22*(23), 2971–2972. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl505

Hill, J., & Smith, J. (1984). *Bats: A natural history*. London, UK: British Museum (Natural History).

Hong, W., & Zhao, H. (2014). Vampire bats exhibit evolutionary reduction of bitter taste receptor genes common to other bats. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, *281*(1788), 20141079. https://doi.org/10.1098/Rspb.2014.1079

Hristov, N., & Conner, W. E. (2005). Effectiveness of tiger moth (Lepidoptera, Arctiidae) chemical defenses against an insectivorous bat (*Eptesicus fuscus*). *Chemoecology*, *15*(2), 105–113. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-005-0301-0

Imai, H., Suzuki, N., Ishimaru, Y., Sakurai, T., Yin, L., Pan, W., & Hirai, H. (2012). Functional diversity of bitter taste receptor TAS2R16 in primates. *Biology Letters*, *8*(4), 652–656. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2011.1251

Kawai, K., Nikaido, M., Harada, M., Matsumura, S., Lin, L. K., Wu, Y., & Okada, N. (2003). The status of the Japanese and East Asian bats of the genus *Myotis* (Vespertilionidae) based on mitochondrial sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *28*(2), 297–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2003.09.005

Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G., & Sonnhammer, E. L. L. (2001). Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: Application to complete genomes. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *305*(3), 567–580. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315

Kuhn, J., Pettersson, E. M., Feld, B. K., Burse, A., Termonia, A., Pasteels, J. M., & Boland, W. (2004). Selective transport systems mediate sequestration of plant glucosides in leaf beetles: A molecular basis for adaptation and evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *101*(38), 13808–13813. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0402576101

Lei, W., Ravoninjohary, A., Li, X., Margolskee, R. F., Reed, D. R., Beauchamp, G. K., & Jiang, P. (2015). Functional analyses of bitter taste receptors in domestic cats (*Felis catus*). *PLoS One*, *10*(10), e0139670. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139670

Li, D., & Zhang, J. (2014). Diet shapes the evolution of the vertebrate bitter taste receptor gene repertoire. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *31*(2), 303–309. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst219

Liu, Y., He, G., Xu, H., Han, X., Jones, G., Rossiter, S. J., & Zhang, S. (2014). Adaptive functional diversification of lysozyme in insectivorous bats. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *31*(11), 2829–2835. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu240

Liu, Z., Liu, G., Hailer, F., Orozco-terWengel, P., Tan, X., Tian, J., & Li, M. (2016). Dietary specialization drives multiple independent losses and gains in the bitter taste gene repertoire of Laurasiatherian mammals. *Frontiers in Zoology*, *13*(1), 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-016-0161-1

Lossow, K., Hubner, S., Roudnitzky, N., Slack, J. P., Pollastro, F., Behrens, M., & Meyerhof, W. (2016). Comprehensive analysis of mouse bitter taste receptors reveals different molecular receptive ranges for orthologous receptors in mice and humans. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *291*(29), 15358–15377. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.718544

Matsui, A., Go, Y., & Niimura, Y. (2010). Degeneration of olfactory receptor gene repertories in primates: No direct link to full trichromatic vision. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *27*(5), 1192–1200. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msq003

Matsunami, H., Montmayeur, J. P., & Buck, L. B. (2000). A family of candidate taste receptors in human and mouse. *Nature*, *404*(6778), 601–604. https://doi.org/10.1038/35007072

Meyerhof, W., Batram, C., Kuhn, C., Brockhoff, A., Chudoba, E., Bufe, B., & Behrens, M. (2010). The molecular receptive ranges of human

TAS2R bitter taste receptors. *Chemical Senses*, 35(2), 157–170. https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjp092

Miller-Butterworth, C. M., Murphy, W. J., O'Brien, S. J., Jacobs, D. S., Springer, M. S., & Teeling, E. C. (2007). A family matter: Conclusive resolution of the taxonomic position of the long-fingered bats, Miniopterus. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 24(7), 1553–1561. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm076

Nishida, R. (2002). Sequestration of defensive substances from plants by Lepidoptera. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 47(1), 57–92. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.47.091201.145121

Oba, Y., Yoshida, N., Kanie, S., Ojika, M., & Inouye, S. (2013). Biosynthesis of firefly luciferin in adult lantern: Decarboxylation of L-cysteine is a key step for benzothiazole ring formation in firefly luciferin synthesis. *Plos One*, 8(12), e84023. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084023

Ohno, S. (1970). *Evolution by gene duplication*. Berlin-Heidelberg, Germany & New York, NY: Springer-Verlag.

Opitz, S. E. W., & Muller, C. (2009). Plant chemistry and insect sequestration. *Chemoecology*, 19(3), 117–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-009-0018-6

Panusa, A., Petrucci, R., Marrosu, G., Multari, G., & Gallo, F. R. (2015). UHPLC-PDA-ESI-TOF/MS metabolic profiling of *Arctostaphylos pungens* and *Arctostaphylos uva-ursi*. A comparative study of phenolic compounds from leaf methanolic extracts. *Phytochemistry*, 115, 79–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.01.002

Paradis, E., Claude, J., & Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics*, 20(2), 289–290. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg412

Parejo, I., Viladomat, F., Bastida, J., & Codina, C. (2001). A single extraction step in the quantitative analysis of arbutin in bearberry (*Arctostaphylos uva-ursi*) leaves by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Phytochemical Analysis*, 12(5), 336–339. https://doi.org/10.1002/Pca.602

Porter, L. A. (1967). Picrotoxinin and related substances. *Chemical reviews*, 67(4), 441–464. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr60248a004

Prudic, K. L., Khera, S., Solyom, A., & Timmermann, B. N. (2007). Isolation, identification, and quantification of potential defensive compounds in the viceroy butterfly and its larval host-plant, Carolina willow. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 33(6), 1149–1159. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-007-9282-5

Ratcliffe, J. M., & Fullard, J. H. (2005). The adaptive function of tiger moth clicks against echolocating bats: An experimental and synthetic approach. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 208(24), 4689–4698. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.01927

Ronquist, F., & Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2003). MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, 19(12), 1572–1574. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180

Ruedi, M., & Mayer, F. (2001). Molecular systematics of bats of the genus *Myotis* (vespertilionidae) suggests deterministic ecomorphological convergences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 21(3), 436–448. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.2001.1017

Ruedi, M., Stadelmann, B., Gager, Y., Douzery, E. J. P., Francis, C. M., Lin, L. K., & Cibois, A. (2013). Molecular phylogenetic reconstructions identify East Asia as the cradle for the evolution of the cosmopolitan genus *Myotis* (Mammalia, Chiroptera). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 69(3), 437–449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2013.08.011

Sawyer, S. (1989). Statistical tests for detecting gene conversion. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 6(5), 526–538. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040567

Shi, P., & Zhang, J. (2006). Contrasting modes of evolution between vertebrate sweet/umami receptor genes and bitter receptor genes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 23(2), 292–300. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msj028

Shi, P., Zhang, J., Yang, H., & Zhang, Y. P. (2003). Adaptive diversification of bitter taste receptor genes in mammalian evolution. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 20(5), 805–814. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msg083

Simmons, N. B. (2005). Order Chiroptera. In D. E. Wilson, & M. D. Reeder (Eds.), *Mammal species of the World: The taxonomic and geographic reference*. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Stadelmann, B., Lin, L. K., Kunz, T. H., & Ruedi, M. (2007). Molecular phylogeny of New World *Myotis* (Chiroptera, Vespertilionidae) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear DNA genes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 43(1), 32–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.06.019

Teeling, E. C., Springer, M. S., Madsen, O., Bates, P., O'Brien, S. J., & Murphy, W. J. (2005). A molecular phylogeny for bats illuminates biogeography and the fossil record. *Science*, 307(5709), 580–584. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105113

Thalmann, S., Behrens, M., & Meyerhof, W. (2013). Major haplotypes of the human bitter taste receptor TAS2R41 encode functional receptors for chloramphenicol. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 435(2), 267–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.04.066

Thompson, R. D., Elias, D. J., Shumake, S. A., & Gaddis, S. E. (1982). Taste preferences of the common vampire bat (*Desmodus Rotundus*). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 8(4), 715–721. https://doi.org/10.1007/Bf00988313

Vane, J. R. (2000). The fight against rheumatism: From willow bark to COX-1 sparing drugs. *Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, 51(4), 573–586.

Wang, K., & Zhao, H. (2015). Birds generally carry a small repertoire of bitter taste receptor genes. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 7(9), 2705–2715. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evv180

Weadick, C. J., & Chang, B. S. W. (2012). An improved likelihood ratio test for detecting site-specific functional divergence among clades of protein-coding genes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29(5), 1297–1300. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr311

Yang, Z. (2007). PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 24(8), 1586–1591. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm088

Yang, Z., & Nielsen, R. (2002). Codon-substitution models for detecting molecular adaptation at individual sites along specific lineages. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 19(6), 908–917. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a004148

Yang, Z., & Rannala, B. (2012). Molecular phylogenetics: Principles and practice. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 13(5), 303–314. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3186

Zagrobelny, M., Bak, S., Rasmussen, A. V., Jorgensen, B., Naumann, C. M., & Moller, B. L. (2004). Cyanogenic glucosides and plant-insect interactions. *Phytochemistry*, 65(3), 293–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2003.10.016

Zepeda Mendoza, M. L., Xiong, Z., Escalera-Zamudio, M., Runge, A. K., Theze, J., Streicker, D., & Gilbert, M. P. T. (2018). Hologenomic adaptations underlying the evolution of sanguivory in the common vampire bat. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 2(4), 659–668. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0476-8

Zhang, J. (2003). Evolution by gene duplication: An update. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 18(6), 292–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(03)00033-8

Zhang, J., Nielsen, R., & Yang, Z. (2005). Evaluation of an improved branch-site likelihood method for detecting positive selection at the molecular level. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 22(12), 2472–2479. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi237

Zhao, H., Rossiter, S. J., Teeling, E. C., Li, C. J., Cotton, J. A., & Zhang, S. (2009a). The evolution of color vision in nocturnal mammals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(22), 8980–8985. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0813201106

Zhao, H., Xu, D., Zhou, Y., Flanders, J., & Zhang, S. (2009b). Evolution of opsin genes reveals a functional role of vision in the echolocating little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*). *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, *37*(3), 154–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2009.03.001

Zhao, H., Zhou, Y., Pinto, C. M., Charles-Dominique, P., Galindo-Gonzalez, J., Zhang, S., & Zhang, J. (2010). Evolution of the sweet taste receptor gene *Tas1r2* in bats. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *27*(11), 2642–2650. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msq152

Zhou, Y., Dong, D., Zhang, S., & Zhao, H. (2009). Positive selection drives the evolution of bat bitter taste receptor genes. *Biochemical Genetics*, *47*(3–4), 207–215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10528-008-9218-y

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.